EXHIBIT 11

Confidential - Per 2004 MDL 1358 Order

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE:

Methyl Tertiary Butyl: MDL NO. 1358 (SAS)

Ether ("MTBE")
Products Liability
Litigation

In Re:

City of New York

CONFIDENTIAL (Per 2004 MDL 1358 Order)

April 17, 2008

Videotaped Deposition of JAMES J. ROBERTS, held in the law offices of McDermott, Will & Emery, 340 Madison Avenue, New York, New York, beginning at approximately 10:15 a.m., before Ann V. Kaufmann, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Approved Reporter of the U.S. District Court, and a Notary Public.

GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 877.370.3377 ph|917.591.5672 fax deps@golkow.com

Confidential - Per 2004 MDL 1358 Order

```
Page 88
 1
                 And with regard to Well 5,
           0.
 2
     is the well going to be brought back
 3
     on line?
                 The overall question of the
           Α.
 5
     status of the utilization of all the
 6
     groundwater wells speaks to the issue of
 7
     water quality and necessity. So when
 8
     the need is there for us to put it into
 9
     service and if the water quality is such
     that that can be done, it would be put
10
11
     into service.
12
                 And with regard to these
13
     wells, being the nine that you have
14
     identified with GAC, and well 5, is it
15
     your understanding that those would only
16
     be operated if there was a drought
17
     emergency or maintenance problem?
18
                 I would not -- I would not
19
     put that limitation on it. Certainly
20
     that would bring the -- as my other
21
     phone vibrates, and I apologize for
22
     that. Let me just ignore that and shut
     it off.
23
24
                 So I would not put the
```

Confidential - Per 2004 MDL 1358 Order

```
Page 89
 1
     limitation on it. Certainly under the
 2
     conditions of drought or emergency,
 3
     there would be a much more compelling
     reason to, you know, expedite getting
 5
     those wells in service, but I would not
 6
     put the limitation on it necessarily.
 7
                 With respect to the
           0.
 8
     question of the City's groundwater
 9
     system and its future use, have you
10
     been involved -- and I know you have
11
     gone to some of the public meetings with
12
     experts. But other than that, have you
13
     been kept apprised of what the current
14
     plan is to bring the Dependability
     System wells of 55 million gallons on
15
16
     line?
17
           Α.
                 So -- and that goes back to
     the comment I made a little earlier.
18
19
                 I think when -- and you
20
     used the term "Dependability" and I did
21
     not use it first. So I think that the
22
     definition of Dependability gets
23
     somewhat blurred, it crosses lines, and
24
     the question becomes, when you are
```